



Lewis and Clark College
Traditional Report AY 2016-17
Oregon



REPORT COMPLETE

STATUS: CERTIFIED

Institution Information

ADDRESS

0615 SW Palatine Hill Road

CITY

Portland

STATE

Oregon

ZIP

97219

SALUTATION

Dr.

FIRST NAME

Barbara

LAST NAME

Shepperson

PHONE

(503) 768-6180

EMAIL

shepperson@lclark.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education?

(<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>)

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, provide the following:

AWARD YEAR

GRANTEE NAME

PROJECT NAME

GRANT NUMBER

LIST PARTNER DISTRICTS/LEAS (ONE PER LINE)

LIST OTHER PARTNERS (ONE PER LINE)

PROJECT TYPE

- ☐ Residency
- ☐ Pre-baccalaureate
- ☐ Both Residency and Pre-baccalaureate

List of Programs

On this page, review the list of teacher preparation programs offered by your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this list of programs is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the programs in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, list all traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, list all alternative programs within the IHE. You may edit, delete, and insert new rows as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page. The system will automatically total the number of programs for you.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Information](#)

Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
Elementary Multiple Subjects	No	
Secondary Education	No	

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 2

On this page, review and enter information about the program requirements for admission into the program, program completion, and supervised clinical experience. If you submitted an IPRC last year, much of this page is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to specify the requirements in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide the requirements for traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide the requirements for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Admissions](#)
- >> [Undergraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Postgraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Supervised Clinical Experience](#)

Admissions

1. Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Other

If Other, please specify:

Senior Year or Postgraduate

2. Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

3. Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

http://www.lclark.edu/graduate/offices/admissions/apply/requirements/

4. Please provide any additional information about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)](#))

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Fingerprint check	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Background check	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum ACT score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum SAT score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum basic skills test score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Recommendation(s)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Essay or personal statement	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Interview	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other Specify: Successful completion of all key assessments including State of Oregon content ...	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2016-17?

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2016-17?

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)](#))

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Fingerprint check	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Background check	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum ACT score	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Minimum SAT score	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Minimum basic skills test score	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Recommendation(s)	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Essay or personal statement	☒ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Interview	☒ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Other Specify: Satisfactory completion of all program assessments	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.75

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2016-17?

3.462

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2016-17?

4

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2016-17. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iii\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iv\)\)](#)

[Additional guidance on reporting supervised clinical experience and nonclinical coursework.](#)

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	273.5
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	660
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	40
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	136
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	73

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Per Oregon’s state policy, the figure given for "Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year" is a head count of faculty supervising clinical experiences during the academic year, including adjunct faculty, rather than using the FTE formula. Per Oregon’s state policy, the figure given for "Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)" is a head count for Cooperating Teachers instead of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experiences during the academic year.

Enrollment

On this page, enter the number of candidates for an initial teaching credential who are enrolled in the initial teacher preparation programs within your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. **Do not** report on the total number of students enrolled in the entire IHE. **Do not** include individuals who currently hold a teaching credential and are seeking additional licenses or endorsements, or individuals preparing for school-based careers other than classroom teachers (e.g., administrators, guidance counselors).

The Department recognizes that in many cases, candidates voluntarily report their race/ethnicity and gender data, and that in some cases, candidates may choose not to report this information. Please report on the race/ethnicity data you have available, though the data may not be complete. It is not expected that the sum of the enrolled students reported by race/ethnicity or by gender will necessarily equal the total number of students enrolled.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the candidates enrolled in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the candidates enrolled in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the candidates enrolled in the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the enrollment data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Enrollment](#)

Enrollment

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

[Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.](#)

Total number of students enrolled in 2016-17	73
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2016-17	23
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2016-17	50

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(ii\)\(H\)](#))

2016-17	Number Enrolled
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino of any race	8
Race	

2016-17	Number Enrolled
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	3
Black or African American	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0
White	58
Two or more races	2

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Teachers Prepared by Subject Area](#)
- >> [Teachers Prepared by Academic Major](#)

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. [\(\\$205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)\)](#)

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by subject area.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐

 No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	21
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	48
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	48
13.1206	Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	4
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	21
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	1
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	1
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	16
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	5
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.99	Education - Other Specify: 	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by academic major.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐ No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	2
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.03	Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
13.09	Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	4
42	Psychology	4
45.01	Social Sciences	1
45.02	Anthropology	1
45.06	Economics	
45.07	Geography and Cartography	
45.10	Political Science and Government	2
45.11	Sociology	2
50	Visual and Performing Arts	4
54	History	10
16	Foreign Languages	4
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	3
23	English Language/Literature	13
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	1
01	Agriculture	
09	Communication or Journalism	1
14	Engineering	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
26	Biology	5
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
40.01	Physical Sciences	
40.02	Astronomy and Astrophysics	
40.04	Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
40.05	Chemistry	
40.06	Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
40.08	Physics	
52	Business/Business Administration/Accounting	1
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
99	Other Specify: See contextual information for exact undergraduate majors of the 13 MAT completers reported here.	13

On this page, enter the total number of individuals who completed the program in AY 2016-17 and the two prior academic years. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the number of program completers for the two prior academic years are pre-loaded from your prior year's report.

A program completer is a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, the fact that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for initial certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer.

An individual cannot be classified as both enrolled and as a program completer at the same time. An enrolled individual is not a program completer. Once an individual has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program and becomes a program completer, the individual is no longer classified as enrolled.

After entering the program completers, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Completers](#)

Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years.

2016-17	69
2015-16	77
2014-15	76

Annual Goals

On this page, review the annual goals in each subject area listed below. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the goals you entered last year are pre-loaded from your prior year's report. Please respond to the questions to report on progress towards the goals, and set new goals for the next academic year.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Annual Goals - Mathematics](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Science](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Special Education](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students](#)
- >> [Assurances](#)

Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\). \\$206\(a\)\)](#)

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17?

4

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Increased STEM-targeted recruitment with focused information sessions for prospective math & science teachers, including on CAS campus, and at other liberal arts colleges in California & Ohio.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

2

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2018-19?

5

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2016-17?

8

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes

- ☒ No
☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Increased STEM-targeted recruitment with focused information sessions for prospective math & science teachers, including on CAS campus, and at other liberal arts colleges in California & Ohio.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?

9

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2018-19?

3

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), §206\(a\)\)](#)

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2018-19?

2

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Our SPED endorsement is returning this summer after a year's hiatus to provide time for review by a SPED Advisory Committee, made up of graduates of the SPED program, a longtime adjunct instructor who has taught in the SPED program, and a new adjunct instructor who just moved to the Portland area who has years of SPED experience in other states. Recent graduates of the SPED program were also interviewed about their experiences and recommendations. Members of the SPED Advisory Committee reviewed courses and made proposals for changes that are being reviewed by Teacher Education faculty and will then be submitted to the Graduate School Curriculum Committee. The changes will make it possible for SPED candidates to complete their endorsement while working full-time as teachers. They will continue to complete a fall and spring SPED practicum with a support course taught by the supervisor of their practicum. This aspect of the SPED endorsement received high praise from graduates and the Advisory Committee.

Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

As we move forward with our ESOL program, we are using several strategies to further ensure the success of our candidates who are working to complete their ESOL endorsement. For both candidates in our MESOL and inservice ESOL program, we are working to add additional supports to the practicum component required for their endorsement. This has meant additional group and individual candidate meetings with the ESOL Program Director and an updated handbook and procedures for practicum mentors and supervisors.

Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#), [§206\(b\)](#)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

1. Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☒ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

We have coursework in ESOL and coursework or seminars in special education to help better prepare our candidates to meet the needs of their students who are limited English proficient and/or students with special needs. Our curriculum is aligned to the national SPA standards and we have both ESOL and SPED courses embedded in both of our preservice programs. We also have an MAT with ESOL Endorsement program that allows beginning teachers to earn an ESOL endorsement alongside their MAT degree program.

On this page, review the assessment pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Assessment Pass Rates](#)

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT503 -ART Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT503.1 -ART.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT503.1 -ART.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
NT305 -BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
NT305 -BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
NT306 -CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
0070 -CHEMISTRY PHYSICS & GENERAL SCIENCE Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
NT404 -CHINESE (MANDARIN) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT101 -EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT102 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT102 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	19	255	18	95
NT102.1 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 1.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
NT102.1 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 1.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
NT103 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
NT103 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	18	259	18	100
NT103.1 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 2.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT103.1 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION SUBTEST 2.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
NT301 -ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
NT301 -ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	15	271	14	93
NT301.1 -ENGLISH.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
NT301.1 -ENGLISH.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	6			
NT402 -FRENCH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT311 -GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
001 -LANGUAGE ARTS SOCIAL SCIENCE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
NT304 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
002 -MATHEMATICS SCIENCE HEALTH AND PE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
NT203 -MIDDLE GRADES MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
NT203 -MIDDLE GRADES MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
008 -PROTECTING CIVIL RIGHTS IN EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	36	286	36	100
008 -PROTECTING CIVIL RIGHTS IN EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	69	285	69	100
NT303 -SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
NT303 -SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			
NT303.1 -SOCIAL SCIENCE.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	7			

Summary Pass Rates

On this page, review the summary pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Summary Pass Rates](#)

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2016-17	69	65	94

Low-Performing

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's approval/accreditation and whether your program has been designated as low performing by the state. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Low-Performing](#)

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(D\), \\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(E\)\)](#)

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- ☒ State
- ☒ NCATE
- ☐ TEAC
- ☐ CAEP
- ☒ Other specify:
NWCCU

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Use of Technology](#)

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(F\)\)](#)

Does your program prepare teachers to:

a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

Our teacher preparation programs integrate use of technology for instruction and data use into both general and subject-/level-specific courses and activities. Candidates utilize various forms of technology to gather, enter, and represent learning. Secondary candidates use Google Apps for planning & sharing lesson plans with mentors, supervisors, & instructors. Many also use Peardeck, a polling add-on for google slides, in their teaching. At the subject-area level, students in our math program use SMART Board technology, graphing calculators, and geogebra. Math candidates also review and evaluate the effectiveness of free online tools. In language arts, they explore the use of podcasts and other audio and video technologies in literature. In addition, our candidates learn about critical issues associated with use of media and mobile devices in schools. For example, they explore issues related to professionalism on social media platforms and creation of blogs & websites. Elementary candidates use Google Apps extensively for course assignments and within their classroom instruction. For example: (1) Using Google docs for student writing--candidates provide feedback in the document and students collaborate in the writing process digitally; (2) Candidates use Google sheets to create data displays (tables and graphs) depicting student assessment results; (3) Candidates learn how to use Google forms to create quizzes; (3) Candidates differentiate assignments using Google docs shared with individual students--appropriately leveled tasks provided to individuals or groups; (4) Candidates use Google slides for presentations in classes. All initial licensure candidates use a combination of Moodle (our LMS), TaskStream (our ePortfolio system), and Google Apps for course assignments and discussion fora. As part of their edTPA assessment, they learned to create, edit, and upload video of their teaching into an

eportfolio platform in several hands-on workshops. In the spring, all candidates successfully submitted their edTPA assessment for scoring by Pearson.

On this page, review the questions about how your program trains general education teachers and special education teachers. For the purposes of these questions, general education teachers means those who are not specifically prepared as special education teachers. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(G\)\)](#)

1. Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

☒ Yes

☐ No

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

In the Elementary program candidates take a two credit-hour sequence in the fall that focuses on both teaching students with disabilities, and legal issues. One major assignment in this sequence requires them to attend an IEP meeting and create a classroom profile with attention to all special needs of students. All Elementary Candidates take four credits in ESOL spread over three terms (summer, fall, spring). All elementary content courses also address subject-specific accommodations and supports for both ELL and SPED students with specific strategies. All lesson plans candidates submit for courses and for supervisor observations must include specific supports for ELL and SPED students. In the Secondary program, candidates take a yearlong course that focuses on students with disabilities, and they take a Legal Issues course in the fall that addresses Special Education law as well as General Ed law. Attention is given to the kind of language that is likely to appear in an IEP, so that our candidates are able to deeply understand plans. In addition, they are encouraged to attend an IEP meeting, if presented with the opportunity in the classroom (during the student-teaching experience). All secondary candidates complete 2 courses focused on addressing the needs of LEP students. Courses focus on language acquisition, identification of resources, & strategies for supporting LEP students in general education settings.

3. Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Program does not prepare special education teachers

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Program does not prepare special education teachers

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

N/A

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Contextual Information](#)

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

The Lewis & Clark Graduate School offers a 13-month post-baccalaureate program for pre-service teachers. The program is centered around a full-year student-teaching experience. Students are placed at a school site at the beginning of their program and remain at that site for the entire year. Our program reflects and exceeds the best practices in clinical preparation. Our data show, and our students report, that this extended time observing and practicing in the field well prepares them for their first years of teaching. – CRITICAL DATA NOTE: On April 17, 2017, the Oregon Teacher Standards & Practices Commission (TSPC) adopted the national passing score of 220 for the NES examination series. The Commission subsequently decided to retroactively honor scores from before this ruling that were at least 220, but below the former Oregon passing scores. Four of the candidates we recommended for licensure in the 16-17 AY were recommended on the basis of scores retroactively recognized as passing. The Title II passrates analysis completed by Pearson in March of this year did not reflect the Commission's decision on this matter. As a result, these four recommended candidates appear to have failed their licensure exams in the passrate data reported here. These erroneous data appear to place us in violation of Oregon's definition of a program completer as a candidate who has passed their licensure exam as well as completing all other program requirements. We have been asked by TSPC to certify our report as is, with the understanding that these data will be corrected within two weeks of the April 30th certification deadline. TSPC has assured us that Westat will correct these data before final certification of the Oregon Title II report. – In Section I, Teachers Prepared, we reported 13 of our MAT completers' undergraduate majors under Other. The exact CIP code, name of major, and number of completers for each major was as follows: (3.0103) Environmental Studies, 1; (3.0103) Natural History, 1; (3.02) Natural Resources Management, 2; (30) Interdisciplinary Studies, 1; (30.05) Peace & Justice Studies, 1; (30.18) Natural Sciences, 1; (39.0601) Theology, 1; (5.0102) American Studies, 1; (5.0104) East Asian Studies, 1; (5.0124) French Studies, 1; (5.02) Ethnic Studies, 1; (51.2207) Health Promo & Health Behavior, 1.

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Enrollment Confirmation

Total Title II enrollment from Section I: Program Information, Enrollment is **73**.

Number of program completers from Section I: Program Information, Program Completers is **69**.

For a total enrollment of **142**.

☐ I certify the total enrollment shown above is correct.

Certification of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Esme D. Miller

TITLE:

Assistant Director, Research & Assessment

Certification of review of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Barbara Shepperson

TITLE:

Director, Research & Assessment

Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Total Enrollment	81	73	-9.88%
Male Enrollment	26	23	-11.54%
Female Enrollment	55	50	-9.09%
Hispanic/Latino Enrollment	4	8	100.00%
American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment	0	0	
Asian Enrollment	2	3	50.00%
Black or African American Enrollment	0	0	

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment	0	0	
White Enrollment	64	58	-9.38%
Two or more races Enrollment	3	2	-33.33%
Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	273.5	273.5	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	660	660	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring	0	0	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	40	40	0.00%
Number of adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	147	136	-7.48%
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	83	73	-12.05%
Total completers for current academic year	77	69	-10.39%
Total completers for prior academic year	76	77	1.32%
Total completers for second prior academic year	78	76	-2.56%