COURSE SYLLABUS COVER SHEET Lewis & Clark College Graduate School of Education and Counseling

| Course Name | CPSY 538 – Section 1 | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Course Number | Advanced Research Methods | | |
| Term | Fall 2014 | | |
| Department | Counseling Psychology | | |
| Textbooks/Materials | See attached | | |
| Faculty Name | Carol Doyle | | |
| Faculty Phone/E-mail | cdoyle@lclark.edu | | |
| Faculty Office | Rogers Hall 317 | | |

Course Description

Advanced Research Methods has been developed for those students who enter their Counseling Psychology program with a strong background (2 research methods/statistics courses and/or research assistantship as part of undergraduate degree.) This class will build on student's base of knowledge by providing an opportunity to learn about aspects of the research process in more detail. We will also learn about new and innovative designs and/or methods. Students will design a research project (hypothetical) utilizing a design or method that they have not studied and/or used before. Students planning to complete a thesis will refine their thesis topics and begin developing a research question.

The structure of the class will include some lecture but primarily that of a research colloquium. Each meeting of the colloquium will cover a different broad topic with specifics determined by the class. Class will also provide the opportunity to present "problems" and/or issues that have come up as you design your projects.

Course Goals and Objectives:

The primary goal of this class is to further develop students' understanding of the research process specifically related to research related to their professions. Included will be an understanding of program evaluation and the use of research findings for program improvement. Also included will be evidence based practice and utilizing research to improve practice. An additional goal is to have students critically analyze published research and/or be able to conduct independent research.

The objectives of this class will be to provide opportunities to learn and apply the skills necessary for evaluating research, including analyzing published instruments, and conducting independent research, including needs assessments and program evaluation. Emphasis will be on the following components of the research process: research questions and hypotheses, research design including qualitative research, action research and program evaluation, operationalization of variables, and measurement issues. The impact of culture on research design and analysis will additionally be addressed.

By the end of the semester students will be able to:

- Identify various paradigms for conducting research (NASP 2.1, CC: 8b)
- Understand the process of developing a research project (NASP 2.9; CC: 8b, 8c, 8d)
- Write research questions and hypotheses (CC: 8b)
- Understand the ethical issues involved in working with human participants (CC: 8f)
- Identify and describe various types of quantitative research designs (CC: 8b)
- Identify and describe various types of qualitative research designs (CC: 8b)

- Identify the components and models of program evaluations, including needs assessments (NASP 2.9; CC: 8d, B3)
- Identify and describe validity issues inherent in different types of designs (CC: 8b, 8c, 8d)
- Discuss the use of research findings for program change (CC: 8d, B3)
- Discuss the issues involved in conducting real world research (CC: 8a, 8e)
- Discuss the impact of culture on various aspects of the research process (CC: 8f, 7f, 2c)
- Critically evaluate published research articles (NASP 2.1; CC: 8a, 8b, 8d, 8e)
- Design a proposal for research project (NASP 2.11; CC: 8a, 8b, 8e)
- Write an Introduction and Literature Review for a research project (thesis students only)

Additionally, the course will cover the objectives and competencies from your professional organizations. These include:

From the NASP standards,

Students will:

- Have knowledge of research, statistics and evaluation methods
- Be able to evaluate research,
- Be able to translate research into practice
- Understand research design and statistics in sufficient depth to plan and conduct investigations and program evaluations for improvement of services
- Have knowledge of varied models and methods of assessment that yield information useful in identifying strengths and needs in understanding problems and in measuring progress and accomplishments
- Have knowledge of information sources and technology relevant to their work
- Access, evaluate, and utilize information sources and technology in ways that safeguard or enhance the quality of services

From the Marriage & Family Therapy Core Competencies & MCFT program standards

Students:

- Understand research and program evaluation methodologies, both quantitative and qualitative, relevant to MFT and mental health services.
- Demonstrate an understanding of process and outcome, research design, methodology, basic statistics, with research knowledge in individual and family counseling
- Understand the legal, ethical, and contextual issues involved in the conduct of clinical research and program evaluation.
- Recognize informal research processes involved in therapy, own biases relative to research
- Know the extant MFT literature, research, and evidence-based practice.
- Read current MFT and other professional literature and use the literature to inform clinical practice.
- Critique professional research and assess the quality of research studies and program evaluation in the literature.
- Determine the effectiveness of clinical practice and techniques.
- Utilize research and technology applications in marital, couple, and family counseling
- Recognize opportunities for therapists and clients to participate in clinical research when appropriate

From the CACREP Standards

Students will understand

- The importance of research in advancing the counseling profession;
- The importance of research and opportunities and difficulties in conducting research in the counseling profession,
- research methods such as qualitative, quantitative, single-case designs, action research, and outcome-based research;
- Statistical methods used in conducting research and program evaluation;
- Principles, models, and applications of needs assessment, program evaluation, and the use of findings to effect program modifications;
- The use of research to inform evidence-based practice; and
- Ethical and culturally relevant strategies for interpreting and reporting the results of research and/or program evaluation studies.
- Use of research to improve counseling effectiveness
- Legal and ethical issues in conducting research

Course Calendar: See attached

Course Requirements: See attached

The graded requirements of the course differ dependent on whether you are a School Psychology, MCFT, PMHC or PMHC-A not planning to complete a thesis, or a student working toward admission to the M.S. and planning to complete a thesis. Overall, the requirements of the course include, homework and in class assignments/class participation, article summaries and critiques, the development of a research article critique guide, an, a group research project and/or introduction to a research plan.

Attendance requirements: Class attendance is expected and required. Any missed class time will be made up by completing extra assignments designed by the instructor. Missing more than ten percent of class time may result in failure to complete the class. This would be 4.5 hours of a 45 hour class (3 credits), 3.0 hours for a 30 hour class (2 credits) or 1.5 hours for a 15 hour class (1 credit.) In case of extreme hardship and also at the discretion of the instructor, a grade of incomplete may be given for an assignment or the entire course. In such cases, the work to be submitted in order to remove the incomplete must be documented appropriately and stated deadlines met. Students are expected to be on time to class and tardiness may be seen as an absence that requires make-up work.

Late papers and assignments: Any assignments turned in late (without previous permission) will automatically receive a 10% reduction in grade.

Evaluation and Assessment:

Each assignment will be graded via a point system. Generally speaking, the following grades can be associated with the points for each assignment

| 90% of p | oints possible | - | А |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| 80% of p | oints possible | - | В |
| 70% of p | oints possible | - | С |
| 60% of p | oints possible | - | D |
| less than (| 60% of points p | ossible - | F |

Additionally, if the requirements for an assignment are met, the points given will be associated with a B^+ . If one exceeds the requirements of the assignment there point total will improve accordingly, Similarly, if the assignment does not meet the requirements point total will decrease accordingly. The points associated with each assignment are attached.

Accommodations for Students with Special Needs and/or Disabilities:

If you have a disability that may impact your academic performance, you may request accommodations by submitting documentation to the Student Support Services Office in the Albany Quadrangle (x7156). After you have submitted documentation and filled out paperwork there for the current semester requesting accommodations, staff in that office will notify me of the accommodations for which you are eligible. Please notify me of any special learning considerations that I should be aware of so that we can work together to make the appropriate accommodations.

Authorization Levels: All levels Partial Bibliography of Research Methods Related resources:

- American Psychological Association (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association*. (6th Ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Cone, J.D. & Foster, S.L. (1993). *Dissertations and theses from start to finish*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Creswell (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (2nd ed). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Creswell (2009) *Research Design: Qualitative & Quantitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (3rd Ed). Thousand Oaks: Sage

Denzin & Lincoln (2000). Handbook of Qualitative Research (2nd Ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage

Galvan, J.L. (1999). Writing Literature Reviews. Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing.

- Heppner, P.P., Kivlighan, D. M., & Wampold, B.E. (1999). *Research Design in Counseling*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Holcomb, Z.C. (1997). *Real data. A statistics workbook based on empirical data.* Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing.

Holcomb, Z.C. (1927). Interpreting Basic Statistics. A Guide and Workbook Based on Excerpts from Journal Articles. Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing.

- Holcomb, Z.C. (1997). *Real data. A statistics workbook based on empirical data.* Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing.
- LeCompte & Schensul (1999). Ethnographer's Toolkit
- Leong & Austin (2006). *The psychology research handbook. A guide for graduate students and research assistants (2nd Ed.).* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications

Pryzak, F. Evaluating Research. Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing.

- Patten, M.L. (2000). Understanding Research Methods. An Overview of the Essentials. Los Angeles: Pyrczak Publishing
- Maxwell, J. (1996). *Qualitative Research Design: An Interactive Approach (2nd Ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Mertens (2005). Research & Evaluation in Education & Psychology (2nd Ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Moustakas, C. (1994). Phenomenological Research Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Mertler, C.A. & Vannatta, R. A. (2005). Advanced and Multivariate Statistical Methods. Practical Application and Interpretation (3rd Ed.) Glendale, CA: Pyrczak Publishing
- Rosenthal, J.A.(2001). *Statistics and Data Interpretation for the Helping Professions*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thompson Learning
- Weis, L. & Fine, M. (2000). Speed bumps: A student-friendly guide to qualitative research. New York: Columbia University, Teacher College Record.

CPSY 538 Advanced Research Methods Fall Semester 2014 Wednesdays 5:30 – 8:45

Carol Doyle, Ph.D. Office: Rogers Hall 317 Advising Hours: Friday 1:00 – 4:00; T/Th (by appt) Phone: 768-6067 E-mail: cdoyle@lclark.edu

"Research is always carried out by an individual with a life and a lifeworld ..., a personality, a social context, and various personal and practical challenges and conflicts, all of which affect the research, from the choice of a research question or topic, through the method used, to the reporting of the project's outcome. Most research textbooks do not bring the living reality of you, the researcher, into the discussion of research. We believe that the person is always at the center of the process in inquiry – that you will always be at the center of your own research, which in turn will always be a part of you" (Bentz, V. M. & Shapiro, J. J. (1998). (Mindful Inquiry in Social Research, p 4.)

Course Description

Advanced Research Methods has been developed for those students who enter their Counseling Psychology program with a strong background (2 research methods/statistics courses and/or research assistantship as part of undergraduate degree.) This class will build on student's base of knowledge by providing an opportunity to learn about aspects of the research process in more detail. We will also learn about new and innovative designs and/or methods. Students will design a research project (hypothetical) utilizing a design or method that they have not studied and/or used before. Students planning to complete a thesis will refine their thesis topics and begin developing a research question.

The structure of the class will be that of a research colloquium. Each meeting of the colloquium will cover a different broad topic with specifics determined by the class. Class will also provide the opportunity to present "problems" and/or issues that have come up as you design your projects.

Requirements:

The graded requirements of the course differ dependent on whether you are an a student in the School Psychology program, an M.A. student in the MCFT or other program not planning to complete a thesis, or a student working toward admission to the M.S. and planning to complete a thesis. Overall, the requirements of the course include, weekly homework and/or in class assignments, quizzes, the development of a research article critique manual, a journal of questions from the reading, a research methods glossary, a group research project and/or introduction to a research plan, and summaries and critiques of a research articles.

The major requirement for thesis students will be to determine your topic/question and write a literature review that provides the research basis of your thesis.

Assignments and Points

| Non-thesis Students | | <u>Thesis Students</u> | |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Class Participation | 100 points | Class Participation | 100 points |
| Homework | 90 points | Homework | 90 points |
| Reading Journal | 30 points | Reading Journal | 30 points |
| Article Summaries (2) | 80 points | Article Summaries (2) | 80 points |
| Test Review Assignment | 35 points | Test Review Assignment | 35 points |
| Critique Guide | 35 points | Critique Questions | 35 points |
| Concept paper | 30 points | Introduction | 30 points |
| Glossaries/Glossary Games | 50 points | Glossary Games | 50 points |
| Group Project | 120 points | Lit Review/ Presentation | 110(10) points |

Final grades will be based on a 570 point total and will be distributed as follows:

| 513 & above | А |
|-------------|------|
| 456 - 512 | В |
| 399 - 455 | С |
| below 399 | Fail |

Late papers and assignments: Any assignments turned in late (without previous permission) will automatically receive a 10% reduction in grade.

Required Texts:

Mertens (2015). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology. Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods.* (4th Ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

American Psychological Association (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association*. (6th Ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

or

Swartz, B.M., Landrum, R.E., & Gurung, R.A. R. (2014) *An Easy Guide to APA Style* (2nd Ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Supplementary Texts & Workbooks

Leong & Austin (1996). *The psychology research handbook. A guide for graduate students and research assistants.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications

Cone, J.D. & Foster, S.L. (2006). *Dissertations and theses from start to finish (2nd Ed)*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Tentative Course Schedule

| Date | General Topic | Readings for Class | Assign/Hmwk DU | J E |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Sept 3 | Introduction Your Goals | | | 5pts |
| Sept 10 | What is my research worldview? Paradigms/lenses/ epistemologies | Mertens chapter 1 Ponterotto, J. G. (2005). | | |
| Sept 17 | Research on the Job – Program Evaluation & Needs Assessment | Mertens Ch 2 | | H1 15 pts |
| Sept 24 | Focusing the Research? Overview of Sampling | Mertens Ch 3 & 11 | | H 2 15pts |
| Oct 1 | Qualitative Methods Topics to Be Covered/Reviewed • Methodological Considerations • Approaches • Sampling • Data Collection • Analysis • Recognizing Good research | Mertens Ch 8& 9 Mertens Chapter qualitative aspects Mertens Chapter 13 qualitative aspects | | H 3 15pts |
| Oct 8 | Participatory Approaches | review pp 238 - 240 (participatory action research) J of Social Action article Ch 12 participatory data collection Ch 13 | | H 4 15 pts |
| Oct 15 | Qualitative Data Collection Qualitative Analysis | | | H 5 15 pts |
| Oct 22 | 30 th Anniversary Dinner | | Qualitative Article summary | 40 points |

| | Critiquing Qualitative research | | Critique time | |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | | | Qualitative Glossary Game Due | |
| Oct 29 | Overview of Quantitative | Mertens Chapter 4 | | H 6 15 pts |
| | Topics to Be Covered/Reviewed Designs Design Validity/Threats Sampling Operationalizations Analysis Recognizing Good research | | | |
| Nov 5 | Special Assignment and Meeting | Data collection reliability validity | Test review Assignment | 35 points |
| | Using Standardized Instruments Reliability/Validity | Chapter 12 post- positivist aspects | Assignment | |
| | | Ch 13 - quantitative analysis | | |
| Nov 12 | Mixed Methods | Mertens Chapter 10 | Quantitative Glossary games due | |
| Nov 19 | Ethics First person scenario Risks and Benefits Informed consent | Mertens Chapter 13 pp 403 - 423; 432- 449 | Concept Paper Due | 30 points |
| Nov 26 | Thanksgiving Break | No class | | |
| Dec 3 | Stats review Critiquing Research | Mertens 13 403- 423 ; 432 to 449 | Quantitative Article Summary | 40 pts |
| | | | Critique guide due | 35 pts |
| Dec 10 | Group Projects | | | 120 |
| | Thesis Presentations Thesis Lit Review Final (Discussion) | | | |
| | Final (Discussion) Game Night | | | 10 |